REFERENCES

 Mohar DS, Barseghian A, Haider N, Domanski M, Narula J. Atherosclerosis in chronic kidney disease: lessons learned from glycation in diabetes. Med Clin North Am 2012;96:57-65.

2. Tearney GJ. OCT imaging of macrophages: a bright spot in the study of inflammation in human atherosclerosis. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2015;8:73-5.

3. Kato K, Yonetsu T, Jia H, et al. Nonculprit coronary plaque characteristics of chronic kidney disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2013;6:448-56.

4. Minami Y, Phipps JE, Hoyt T, et al. Clinical utility of quantitative bright spots analysis in patients with acute coronary syndrome: an optical coherence tomography study. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;31: 1479–87.

5. Moreno PR, Purushothaman KR, Fuster V, et al. Plaque neovascularization is increased in ruptured atherosclerotic lesions of human aorta: implications for plaque vulnerability. Circulation 2004;110: 2032-8.

Image Integration to Guide Wireless Endocardial LV Electrode Implantation for CRT

Suboptimal left ventricular (LV) lead placement in myocardial scar (fibrosis) is associated with cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) nonresponse (1). Image guidance (echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance [CMR]) avoiding fibrosis and targeting late mechanical activation may improve response (2). LV endocardial stimulation delivers effective CRT with rapid, physiological conduction and greater electrical and hemodynamic effects (3). Endocardial access without the constraints of the coronary sinus enables access to the optimal stimulation site (3). Leadless LV endocardial stimulation with a transcatheter-delivered electrode synchronously stimulates the LV in conjunction with a pre-existing pacing system (WiCS-LV, EBR Systems, Sunnyvale, California) (4). We describe wireless LV electrode implantation using our in-house, purpose built, multimodality imaging guidance platform (Department of Imaging Sciences, King's College London and Siemens Healthineers, London, United Kingdom). This enables rapid processing, analysis, and overlay of CMR sequences displaying myocardial fibrosis and mechanical dyssynchrony onto a 3-dimensional shell merged with fluoroscopy for real-time guidance (Siemens Magnetom Aera 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging scanner and Artis-Q biplane Combi Suite, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). This was integrated with LV endocardial voltage mapping for corroboration of myocardial scar (Figure 1).

A 61-year-old man with prior anterior myocardial infarction complicated by severe mitral regurgitation

underwent surgical revascularization and mitral valve repair but remained breathless (New York Heart Association functional class III) with severely depressed LV function (echocardiographic LV ejection fraction 23%). Electrocardiography demonstrated bifascicular block (right bundle branch block and left anterior fascicular block) with QRS duration 168 ms. CMR LV ejection fraction was 15% with >50% transmural, late gadolinium enhancement in the basal to apical anterior and septal segments. He underwent CRT-defibrillator implantation but due to LV lead displacement was approved for the WiCS-LV system. Contact mapping was performed identifying regions of low voltage (bipolar scar map) and electrical latency (local activation time) using CARTO 3 (Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, California). A scar mask from pre-procedural CMR merged with the voltage map demonstrated excellent visual agreement (Figure 1B). Acute hemodynamic response (AHR) (dP/dt_{max}) measured with a RADI (RADI Medical Systems, St. Jude Medical, Sylmar, California) pressure wire in the LV compared biventricular to baseline AAI pacing in addition to electrical latency and paced QRS (pQRS) duration. Two anteroseptal sites in scar (American Heart Association [AHA] segments 2 and 8) demonstrated electrical latency (83 and 153 ms); capture was achieved only at 10 V with a worsened AHR (-4% and -3%) and prolonged pQRS duration (200 ms). Four sites out of scar on the anterolateral, inferolateral, and inferior endocardial surface (AHA segments 6, 11, 4, 10) had more favorable electric and hemodynamic properties; the anterolateral site was optimal with electrical latency of 101 ms, capture threshold 3 V, improved AHR of 8%, and pQRS duration of 120 ms (Figure 1B, orange tag). The WiCS-LV electrode was implanted in this region (Figure 1C). Procedure duration was 180 min, radiation dose was 2,012 cGy cm², and fluoroscopy time was 19 min. It was complicated by a femoral artery pseudoaneurysm (12-F access, closure with Perclose ProGlide suture system, Abbott Vascular, Abbott Park, Illinois) requiring surgical repair. Despite extensive myocardial infarction, the patient remodeled with improvement of echocardiographic LV ejection fraction to 35%. This demonstrates integration of advanced imaging data to guide delivery of a wireless LV electrode for CRT. This was the second of 8 cases completed at our institution; we employ this approach where preprocedural CMR is available. Future work will refine and streamline this process to identify the optimal LV endocardial pacing site.



Jonathan M. Behar, MBBS BSc* Ben Sieniewicz, MBBS Peter Mountney, PhD Daniel Toth, MSc Maria Panayiotou, PhD Simon Claridge, LLB MBBS Kawal Rhode, PhD Christopher Aldo Rinaldi, MD *Department of Imaging Sciences and **Biomedical Engineering** King's College London Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital 4th Floor Lambeth Wing London SE1 7EH United Kingdom E-mail: jonathan.behar@kcl.ac.uk https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.01.015

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please note: This feature is based on research, and is not commercially available. Due to regulatory reasons its future availability cannot be guaranteed. Drs. Behar, Mountney, Panayiotou, Rhode, and Rinaldi, and Mr. Toth are listed as co-inventors on a patent application for the Guide CRT platform. Dr. Mountney and Mr. Toth are employees of Siemens. Dr. Claridge has received fellowship support from St. Jude Medical. Prof. Rinaldi has received research funding from Siemens. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Bleeker GB, Kaandorp TA, Lamb HJ, et al. Effect of posterolateral scar tissue on clinical and echocardiographic improvement after cardiac resynchronization therapy. Circulation 2006;113:969-76.

2. Khan FZ, Virdee MS, Palmer CR, et al. Targeted left ventricular lead placement to guide cardiac resynchronization therapy: the TARGET study: a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:1509-18.

3. Behar JM, Jackson T, Hyde E, et al. Optimized left ventricular endocardial stimulation is superior to optimized epicardial stimulation in ischemic patients

with poor response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: a combined magnetic resonance imaging, electroanatomic contact mapping, and hemodynamic study to target endocardial lead placement. J Am Coll Cardiol EP 2016; 2:799-809.

4. Auricchio A, Delnoy PP, Butter C, et al. Feasibility, safety, and shortterm outcome of leadless ultrasound-based endocardial left ventricular resynchronization in heart failure patients: results of the Wireless Stimulation Endocardially for CRT (WiSE-CRT) study. Europace 2014;16: 681-8.

Prognostic Value of Demand Stress Real-Time Perfusion Imaging in Patients With Advanced Kidney Disease Undergoing Renal Transplantation

Cardiovascular disease accounts for 50% to 60% of all deaths in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (1). By adding myocardial perfusion (MP) imaging to wall motion (WM) analysis, real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography (RTMCE) increases the diagnostic sensitivity and prognostic value of the stress echocardiogram (2,3). However, its prognostic value in ESRD patients has not been defined. From the renal transplant database at the Nebraska Medical Center, patients with ESRD that underwent renal transplantation (RT) and stress RTMCE preoperatively between November 2008 and January 2014 were retrospectively identified (N = 487patients). Patients' demographics, comorbidities, and transplantation data were retrospectively retrieved from the electronic medical records.

Patients undergoing treadmill stress RTMCE underwent a symptom-limited Bruce protocol. Patients undergoing dobutamine stress echocardiography received intravenous dobutamine infusion with increasing doses at 3-min intervals up to 50 μ /kg/min combined with atropine. The contrast agent was Definity (Lantheus Medical, North Billerica, Massachusetts) administered as a 3% intravenous continuous infusion. Both MP and WM were analyzed simultaneously during the replenishment phase of contrast following high mechanical index impulses using a 17-segment model (3,4). Any abnormal MP or WM response had to be confirmed by a second independent expert reviewer, blinded to angiographic or clinical outcome data. Fixed or inducible segments were considered abnormal. All patients had baseline biplane Simpson's measurements of ejection fraction, left atrial volume index, and diastolic function using current guidelines (4). Any subsequent angiograms were interpreted by an experienced interventional cardiologist, with 70% diameter stenosis in proximal or mid portions of the epicardial vessels or major branches considered significant. Patients were followed up for the primary outcome variable, event-free survival (EFS), defined as time from transplant to the incidence of myocardial infarction, heart failure hospitalization, or all-cause mortality. Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate survival distributions and the logrank tests were used to compare EFS distributions. Multivariate Cox regression models of EFS were

TABLE 1 Univariate Cox Model for Time From RT to Cardiac Events (n = 47 With MACE)							
		Univariate			Multivariate		
	Total (N = 487)	HR	95% CI	p Value	HR	95% CI	p Value
Age, yrs	53.2 ± 12.1	1.02*	1.00-1.04	0.05	1.03*	1.00-1.06	0.023
Male	292 (60)	1.47	0.80-2.70	0.21			
CAD	101 (21)	3.4	2.00-5.90	< 0.0001			
Diabetes mellitus	176 (36)	3.56	1.97-6.45	< 0.0001	2.59	1.37-4.88	0.0033
Hypertension	456 (93)	1.25	0.30-5.15	0.76			
Hyperlipidemia	283 (58)	2.14	1.11-4.12	0.023			
Months between stress test and RT	11.2 ± 11.0	1.02†	1.00-1.04	0.054	1.02	1.00-1.04	0.046
Abnormal stress test and no revascularization‡	43 (9)	2.92	1.48-5.75	0.0020	1.75	0.86-3.57	0.12
Abnormal stress test (inducible perfusion defect or WMA)	53 (11)	2.82	1.48-5.37	0.0016			
Abnormal stress test (inducible perfusion) \geq 2 segments vs. <2 segments	42 (9)	2.63	1.30-5.31	0.0072			
Stress test/DD							
Abnormal stress/grade 0-1	40 (8)	2.43	1.15-5.17				
Abnormal stress/grade 2-3	13 (3)	5.10	1.78-14.6	0.0052			
Normal stress/grade 2-3	51 (10)	1.41	0.50-4.03				
Normal stress/grade 0-1	383 (79)	Ref.	-				

Values are mean \pm SD or n (%). *1-year increase. \ddagger 1-month increase. \ddagger Compared to normal or revascularized.

CAD = coronary artery disease; CI = confidence interval; DD = diastolic dysfunction; HR = hazard ratio; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular event; RT = renal transplantation; WMA = wall motion abnormal.