

3. Comenzo RL, Reece D, Palladini G, et al. Consensus guidelines for the conduct and reporting of clinical trials in systemic light-chain amyloidosis. *Leukemia* 2012;26:2317–25.

4. Hawkins PN, Lavender JP, Pepys MB. Evaluation of systemic amyloidosis by scintigraphy with <sup>123</sup>I-labeled serum amyloid P component. *N Engl J Med* 1990;323:508–13.

### Atrial Strain Assessment in Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction



We read with great interest the work by Mordi et al. (1), which was concerned with the ventricular mechanics in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) in the current issue of *JACC*. The investigators nicely demonstrated the relevance of echocardiographic global longitudinal strain (GLS) at rest and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR)–derived extracellular volume (ECV) in the identification of patients with left ventricular (LV) diastolic dysfunction. Both parameters possess additional value beyond commonly established parameters like LV ejection fraction and transmitral velocities, such as,  $E/E'$  in the differentiation among patients with hypertensive heart disease, patients with HFpEF, and healthy control subjects (1).

While the investigators valued ventricular mechanics, evaluation of atrial physiology, with its 3 distinct phases (2) was not approached, although mounting evidence has suggested a pivotal role of atrial physiology in ventricular diastolic dysfunction (2). In addition to ventricular measurements, strain and strain rate parameters are available to assess atrial reservoir function (collection of pulmonary venous return during ventricular systole), conduit function (early diastolic blood passage for ventricular filling), and booster pump function (late diastolic augmentation of ventricular filling). Although the investigators demonstrated a strong correlation of GLS with exercise capacity as expressed by peak volume of oxygen and ventilatory response/volume of carbon dioxide, atrial conduit function was shown to be the most precise predictor of exercise intolerance, beyond invasively measured ventricular parameters of stiffness and relaxation (3). In addition, there is evidence to suggest an important role of stress testing in HFpEF because diagnostic accuracy of guideline-recommended echocardiographic assessments during stress was significantly increased, mainly due to improved sensitivity (4). Furthermore, Melonovsky et al. (5) identified an impaired atrial booster pump function during stress in HFpEF as a potential mechanism to explain cardiopulmonary decompensation in this condition.

In conclusion, the investigators should be commended for expanding the existing body of literature on assessment of ventricular mechanics and tissue characterization by a multiparametric imaging approach with echocardiography and CMR in diastolic dysfunction. Both resting GLS and ECV might improve diagnostic accuracy to detect early changes in subclinical diastolic dysfunction, which potentially could be further increased by assessments during physiological exercise and the application of additional left atrial strain assessments. A complete evaluation of diastolic dysfunction should therefore incorporate ventricular and atrial physiology at rest, and ideally, also under stress, to gain further insights into the complicated and heterogeneous nature of HFpEF.

Sören Jan Backhaus, MD  
Andreas Schuster, MD, PhD, MBA\*

\*Department of Cardiology  
Royal North Shore Hospital  
The Kolling Institute  
Northern Clinical School  
University of Sydney  
Sydney, Australia  
E-mail: [andreas\\_schuster@gmx.net](mailto:andreas_schuster@gmx.net)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.10.016>

© 2018 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Published by Elsevier.

Please note: The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

#### REFERENCES

- Mordi IR, Singh S, Rudd A, et al. Comprehensive echocardiographic and cardiac magnetic resonance evaluation differentiates among heart failure with preserved ejection fraction patients, hypertensive patients, and healthy control subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2017 Aug 11 [E-pub ahead of print].
- Kowallick JT, Kutty S, Edelmann F, et al. Quantification of left atrial strain and strain rate using cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial feature tracking: a feasibility study. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2014;16:60.
- von Roeder M, Rommel KP, Kowallick JT, et al. Influence of left atrial function on exercise capacity and left ventricular function in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *Circ Cardiovasc Img* 2017;10:e005467.
- Obokata M, Kane GC, Reddy YNV, Olson TP, Melonovsky V, Borlaug BA. Role of diastolic stress testing in the evaluation for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. A simultaneous invasive-echocardiographic study. *Circulation* 2017;135:825–38.
- Melonovsky V, Borlaug BA, Rosen B, et al. Cardiovascular features of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction versus nonfailing hypertensive left ventricular hypertrophy in the urban Baltimore community. The role of atrial remodeling/dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:198–207.

#### THE AUTHORS REPLY:



We thank Drs. Backhaus and Schuster for their interest in our study (1). As they state, a multiparametric approach to the diagnosis of heart failure with

preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) will undoubtedly help our understanding of the HFpEF phenotype, allowing us to improve our treatment options.

We agree that there is increasing evidence to support the theory that the atrium is not just a simple reservoir, but, in fact, also plays an important role in the pathophysiology of HFpEF. In addition to the studies Drs. Backhaus and Schuster identified, other recent studies have confirmed that left atrial longitudinal strain is reduced in patients with HFpEF (2). However, it is important to note that in a substudy of the TOPCAT (Treatment of Preserved Cardiac Function Heart Failure with an Aldosterone Antagonist) trial, left atrial dysfunction was associated with poorer prognosis but was not independent of left ventricular parameters (3). Larger studies are required to determine whether left atrial deformation is truly an independent predictor of outcome in HFpEF or whether it is simply a reflection of ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction.

The addition of echocardiographic assessment during exercise would certainly have provided further insights into the differentiation of HFpEF patients and those with hypertensive heart disease. The symptomatic limitation is often only apparent on exercise, and one strength of our study was the use of cardiopulmonary exercise testing to specifically identify truly symptomatic patients. Exercise echo parameters such as  $E/E'$  and estimated pulmonary artery pressures may also have prognostic usefulness in HFpEF patients (4).

The editorial by Dr. Kosmala (5) that accompanies our study proposes a multiparametric approach to

diagnosis and investigation of patients with HFpEF. We agree that larger studies are required using a multiparametric approach, and only by undertaking these will we be able to fully understand and characterize the HFpEF phenotype and begin to identify therapeutic targets.

Iffy R. Mordi, MD\*

Dana K. Dawson, DM, DPhil

\*Division of Molecular and Clinical Medicine  
Ninewells Hospital and Medical School  
University of Dundee  
Dundee, DD1 9SY  
United Kingdom

E-mail: [i.mordi@dundee.ac.uk](mailto:i.mordi@dundee.ac.uk)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.11.004>

© 2018 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Published by Elsevier.

Please note: Dr. Mordi is supported by a NHS Education for Scotland/Chief Scientist Office Post-Doctoral Clinical Lectureship (PCL/17/07). Dr. Dawson has reported that she has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

#### REFERENCES

1. Mordi IR, Singh S, Rudd A, et al. Comprehensive echocardiographic and cardiac magnetic resonance evaluation differentiates among heart failure with preserved ejection fraction patients, hypertensive patients, and healthy control subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2017 Aug 11 [E-pub ahead of print].
2. Singh A, Addetia K, Maffessanti F, Mor-Avi V, Lang RM. LA strain for categorization of LV diastolic dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2017;10:735-43.
3. Santos AB, Roca GQ, Claggett B, et al. Prognostic relevance of left atrial dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Circ Heart Fail* 2016;9:e002763.
4. Donal E, Lund LH, Oger E, et al. Value of exercise echocardiography in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a substudy from the KaRen study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;17:106-13.
5. Kosmala W. Diagnosing HFpEF: on track at last? *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2017 Aug 11 [E-pub ahead of print].