JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR IMAGING
VOL. 2, NO. 3, 2009

ISSN 1936-878X/09/$36.00
PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC.
DOI:10.1016/j.jcmg.2008.12.009

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance in the World of the Cardiac Electrophysiologist

The Road to Real-Time Cardiac Magnetic Resonance*

Anil Pandit, MD, Nassir F. Marrouche, MD, FACC
Salt Lake City, Utah

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cardiac arrhythmia (1) with significant morbidity and mortality (2). A significant milestone in the treatment of AF was achieved by introducing catheter-based ablation (3–5). For the last decade various approaches and technologies have evolved aimed at improving and refining the ablative treatment of AF.

See page 308

Electrical isolation of pulmonary veins with radiofrequency (RF) ablation has now been widely accepted for treatment of AF in which single antiarrhythmic medication has failed (6). RF has also been shown to maintain long-term sinus rhythm in chronic AF (7). Isolation of pulmonary veins with RF catheter ablation of pulmonary veins has an encouraging success rate (7,8). The recurrence of AF has been associated with incomplete pulmonary vein isolation and the existence of triggers beyond the pulmonary veins (9,10). Despite many exciting novel approaches, electrical isolation of the pulmonary veins by applying circumferential lesions around their antra remains the most widely accepted ablation strategy.

Multiple ablation technologies, modalities, and energy sources have also been studied to achieve 2 main goals: delivery of an appropriate transmural lesion to avoid recurrent arrhythmias and collateral damage post-ablation and improvement of navigation within the left atrial cavity to facilitate adoption of the procedure in the electrophysiology (EP) lab. In this issue of *iJACC*, Peters et al. (11) describe an interesting imaging modality based on 3-dimensional delayed-enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) to track ablation lesions post-ablation of AF in an elegantly conducted study. The authors confirm findings from our group that suggest that more lesions correlate with better outcome (12). Thirteen (37%) patients had recurrent AF during the 6.7 ± 3.6 month observation period. The volume of scar in the right inferior pulmonary vein (RIPV) was quantitatively greater in patients *without* recurrence ($p = 0.049$). Qualitatively, patients without recurrence had more circumferentially scarred veins ($p = 0.036$). They use the expression "lynch pin" to express their findings. The RIPV is always a major challenge during an ablation procedure. This could be attributed to its vicinity to the transseptal puncture site that might lead to impaired tissue catheter interface and stability of the ablation catheter during ablation. The authors also describe merging the 3-dimensional lesions model with the pre-acquired 3-dimensional CMR model. This would be a helpful tool to locate the site of the lesions, but it might have been more accurate if the lesions were segmented as a part of the left atrial tissue as described by McGann et al. (12). Although this study shows scar volume of RIPV isolation predicts recurrence, these results must be interpreted with caution due to the small sample size.

In the last decade, a great deal of effort has been invested in improving tools and imaging modalities that would help navigation within the left atrial chamber (13,14). Recently Nazarian et al. (15) reported the feasibility of real-time CMR-guided EP procedures (15) and Dukkipati et al. (16)

*Editorials published in *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Atrial Fibrillation Program and Electrophysiology Laboratory, Division of Cardiology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah.

JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR IMAGING, VOL. 2, NO. 3, 2009
MARCH 2009:317–8

described a novel electroanatomical mapping CMR-guided EP procedure. Until recently, no major focus has been dedicated toward detection and monitoring of left atrial tissue damage acquired during ablation. Our group and others recently introduced 3-dimensional CMR-based methods to detect and monitor lesions after an AF ablation procedure (11,12,17). The visualization of left atrial lesion formation and depth as it forms represents an important first step on the road to "real-time CMR for AF ablation." Achievement of this goal would also be furthered by the interdisciplinary cooperation between radiologists and electrophysiologists. Such a model has already proven successful at our, and many other, institutions.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Nassir F. Marrouche, Atrial Fibrillation Program and Electrophysiology Laboratory, Division of Cardiology, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, 30 North 1900 East, Room 4A100, Salt Lake City, Utah 84132. *E-mail: nassir.marrouche@hsc.utah.edu.*

REFERENCES

1. Feinberg WM, Blackshear JL, Laupacis A, Kronmal R, Hart RG. Prevalence, age distribution, and gender of patients with atrial fibrillation: analysis and implications. Arch Intern Med 1995;155:469–73.
2. Benjamin EJ, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB, Levy D. Impact of atrial fibrillation on the risk of death: the Framingham Heart study. Circulation 1998;98:946–52.
3. Haissaguerre M, Gencel L, Fischer B, et al. Successful catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 1994;5:1045–52.
4. Pappone C, Rosanio S, Oreto G, et al. Circumferential radiofrequency ablation of pulmonary veins ostia: a new anatomic approach for curing atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2000;102: 2619–28.
5. Calkins H, Brugada J, Packer DL, et al. HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for personnel, policy, procedures and follow-up. A report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. Heart Rhythm 2007;4:816–61.
6. European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society (ECAS) American College of Cardiology (ACC) American Heart Association (AHA). HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for personnel, policy, procedures and follow-up: a report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. Heart Rhythm 2007;4:816–86.
7. Oral H, Pappone C, Chugh A, et al. Circumferential pulmonary-vein ablation for chronic atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2006;354:934–41.
8. Noheria A, Kumar A, Wylie JV Jr., Josephson ME. Catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic drug therapy for atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:581–6.
9. Verma A, Kilicaslan F, Pisano E, Marrouche NF, et al. Response of atrial fibrillation to pulmonary vein antrum isolation is directly related to resumption and delay of pulmonary vein conduction. Circulation 2005; 112:627–35.
10. Gerstenfeld EP, Callans DJ, Dixit S, Zado E, Marchlinski FE. Incidence and location of focal atrial fibrillation triggers in patients undergoing repeat pulmonary vein isolation: implications for ablation strategies. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2003;14:685–90.
11. Peters DC, Wylie JV, Hauser TH, et al. Recurrence of atrial fibrillation correlates with extent of post-procedural late gadolinium enhancement: a pilot study. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2009; 2:308–16.
12. McGann CJ, Kholmovski EG, Oakes RS, et al. New magnetic resonance imaging-based method for defining extent of left atrial wall injury after the ablation of atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:1263–71.
13. Di Biase L, Fahmy TS, Patel D, et al. Remote magnetic navigation: human experience in pulmonary vein ablation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:868–74.
14. Saliba W, Reddy VY, Wazni O, et al. Atrial fibrillation ablation using a robotic catheter remote control system: initial human experience and long-term follow-up results. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:2407–11.
15. Nazarian S, Kolandaivelu A, Zviman MM, et al. Feasibility of real-time magnetic resonance imaging for catheter guidance in electrophysiology studies. Circulation 2008;118:223–9.
16. Dukkipati SR, Mallozzi R, Schmidt EJ, et al. Electroanatomic mapping of the left ventricle in a porcine model of chronic myocardial infarction with magnetic resonance-based catheter tracking. Circulation 2008;118:853–62.
17. Badger TJ, Oakes RS, Burgon N, et al. Use of contrast enhanced MRI to identify myocardial healing following ablative treatment for atrial fibrillation. Heart Rhythm 2009. In press.

Key Words: cardiac electrophysiology ■ cardiac magnetic resonance ■ ablation.