

CORRECTION

Tamarappoo BK, Dey D, Nakazato R, Shmilovich H, Smith T, Cheng VY, Thomson LEJ, Hayes SW, Friedman JD, Germano G, Slomka PJ, Berman DS. Comparison of the Extent and Severity of Myocardial Perfusion Defects Measured by CT Coronary Angiography and SPECT Myocardial Perfusion Imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2010;3:1010–9.

Please note that [Figure 5](#) was printed incorrectly. The correct figure and legend are printed below.

doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2010.10.002




Figure 5. Agreement Between Magnitude of Perfusion Deficit Quantified by Semiautomated Approach on CT-MPI and Automated Assessment of TPD by SPECT-MPI

(A) Similarity of magnitude of perfusion deficit on stress CT-MPI and SPECT-MPI–derived stress total perfusion deficit (TPD) in 30 patients. The 95% Bland-Altman limits of agreement (–8.4 to 9.5) are represented by dashed lines. (B) Similarity of magnitude of perfusion deficit on rest CT-MPI and SPECT-MPI–derived rest TPD in 18 patients. The 95% Bland-Altman limits of agreement (–5.1 to 4.7) are represented by dashed lines. (C) Similarity of magnitude of ischemic perfusion deficit by CT-MPI and SPECT-MPI–derived ischemic TPD in 18 patients. The 95% Bland-Altman limits of agreement (–7.6 to 10.2) are represented by dashed lines. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.