

(Fig. 1A). However, with arch hypoplasia (Fig. 1B), accelerated flow through the narrowed arch resulted in elevated and asymmetrical shear stress in the distal arch (Fig. 1B).

Asymmetrically elevated wall shear stress on the posterior wall of the aorta downstream of a hypoplastic arch after coarctation repair is further demonstrated in 3 patients, 2 with an aneurysm (Fig. 2). Time-resolved evaluation of the case depicted in Figure 2A reveals that accelerated flow through the hypoplastic arch impacts the posterior wall and then spins in a large helix within a repair site aneurysm (Online Video 1).

Stephen E. S. Crook, BA, Michael D. Hope, MD*

*Department of Radiology, University of California, San Francisco, 505 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0628, San Francisco, California 94143-0628. E-mail: michael.hope@ucsf.edu.

doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2011.02.016

REFERENCES

1. Bogaert J, Gewillig M, Rademakers F, et al. Transverse arch hypoplasia predisposes to aneurysm formation at the repair site after patch angioplasty for coarctation of the aorta. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;26:521-7.
2. Stalder AF, Russe MF, Frydrychowicz A, Bock J, Hennig J, Markl M. Quantitative 2D and 3D phase contrast MRI: optimized analysis of blood flow and vessel wall parameters. *Magn Reson Med* 2008;60:1218-31.

ARVC/D Task Force Imaging Criteria

It Is Difficult to Get Along With the Guidelines

In a recent study published in *iJACC*, Vermes et al. (1) concluded that the revision of the Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy/Dysplasia (ARVC/D) Task Force imaging criteria significantly reduced the overall prevalence of major and minor criteria in cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) studies (2). The study was accompanied by a very interesting editorial by Bluemke (3), who concluded that the quantitative CMR metrics proposed in the modified task force criteria are useful only “if the CMR laboratory develops its own standards for normal subjects” and if these are the same as previously reported for the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) study, which served as a normal reference for comparison with the ARVC/D population.

However, matters become complicated when new echocardiographic ARVC/D Task Force criteria are considered. The new task force recommendations propose as major ARVC/D diagnostic criteria cutoff values of ≥ 32 mm for diastolic right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) diameter in the parasternal long-axis (PLAX) view and ≥ 36 mm for diastolic RVOT diameter in the short-axis view. The respective cutoff values for minor criteria are ≥ 29 to < 32 mm for the diastolic RVOT PLAX view and ≥ 32 to < 36 mm for the diastolic RVOT short-axis view (2). Sensitivity and specificity for the proposed echocardiographic criteria are provided, but contrary to CMR criteria, the task force document does not refer to any specific study (2).

The echocardiographic cutoff values follow neither those proposed by Yoerger et al. (4) nor those proposed by the North

American Multidisciplinary Study (5) (both of which are cited in the ARVC/D Task Force document): the RVOT PLAX cutoff value and fractional area change (FAC) proposed by Yoerger et al. (4) are > 30 mm and $< 32\%$, respectively, and the FAC cutoff value cited in the North American Multidisciplinary Study is $\leq 26\%$. FAC cutoff values proposed by the task force are $\leq 33\%$ and $\leq 40\%$ (2).

Confusion grows when one refers to the recent American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults (6). The cutoff value for the diastolic RVOT PLAX view in these guidelines is ≥ 32 mm; hence, the revised ARVC/D Task Force minor criteria for the diagnosis of ARVC/D (≥ 29 to < 32 mm) are well within the normal limits recommended by the ASE. To further complicate the situation, the RVOT PLAX measurement techniques proposed by Yoerger et al. (4) and the ASE (6) are not perfectly compatible. Moreover, abnormal FAC is defined as $< 35\%$ by the ASE and as $\leq 40\%$ (minor criteria) and $< 33\%$ (major criteria) by the task force; many normal ASE measurements fulfill the minor ARVC/D diagnostic criteria (2,6).

In conclusion, there is an urgent need to unify the 2 important guideline documents (2,6). Until that happens, because of major discrepancies, we shall not be able to “get along with the guidelines.”

Piotr Szymański, MD, PhD,* Anna Klisiewicz, MD, PhD, Piotr Hoffman, MD, PhD

*Institute of Cardiology, Department of Adult Congenital Heart Diseases, Alpejska 42, 04-628 Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: p.szymanski@ikard.pl

doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2011.04.002

REFERENCES

1. Vermes E, Strohm O, Otmani A, Childs H, Duff H, Friedrich MG. Impact of the revision of Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy/Dysplasia Task Force criteria on its prevalence by CMR criteria. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2011;4:282-7.
2. Marcus FI, McKenna WJ, Sherrill D, et al. Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia: proposed modification of the task force criteria. *Circulation* 2010;121:1533-41.
3. Bluemke DA. ARVC: imaging diagnosis is still in the eye of the beholder. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2011;4:288-91.
4. Yoerger DM, Marcus F, Sherrill D, et al. Multidisciplinary Study of Right Ventricular Dysplasia Investigators. Echocardiographic findings in patients meeting task force criteria for arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia: new insights from the Multidisciplinary Study of Right Ventricular Dysplasia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:860-5.
5. Marcus FI, Zareba W, Calkins H, et al. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia clinical presentation and diagnostic evaluation: results from the North American Multidisciplinary Study. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:984-92.
6. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2010;23:685-713.