


## CORRECTIONS

Terranova G, Ferro M, Carpeggiani C, Recchia V, Braga L, Semelka RC, Picano E. Low Quality and Lack of Clarity of Current Informed Consent Forms in Cardiology: How to Improve Them. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2012;5:649–5.

Please note that in Figure 3, the fourth small image on the x-axis was reprinted from:

Gould KL, Pan T, Loghin C, Johnson NP, Guha A, Sdringola S. Frequent diagnostic errors in cardiac PET/CT due to misregistration of CT attenuation and emission PET images: a definitive analysis of causes, consequences, and corrections. *J Nucl Med* 2007;48:1112–21.



The authors apologize for this omission.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2012.10.003>

Nijjer SS, Pabari PA, Stegemann B, Palmieri V, Leyva F, Linde C, Freemantle N, Davies JE, Hughes AD, Francis DP. The Limit of Plausibility for Predictors of Response: Application to Biventricular Pacing. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2012;5:1046–65.

Please note that equation 3 was noted incorrectly in the text. The correct equation is printed below:

$$\text{Observed } R^2 = \text{Underlying } R^2 \times \overbrace{\begin{matrix} R^2 \text{ contraction factor} \\ \text{imposed by} \\ \text{Dyssynchrony Marker} \end{matrix}}^{\text{Combined } R^2 \text{ Contraction Factor}} \times \begin{matrix} R^2 \text{ contraction factor} \\ \text{imposed by} \\ \text{Response Marker} \end{matrix}$$

The authors apologize for this error.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2012.10.002>