

# High-Sensitivity Troponin I and Coronary Computed Tomography in Symptomatic Outpatients With Suspected Coronary Artery Disease

## Insights From the PROMISE Trial

James L. Januzzi Jr, MD,<sup>a,b</sup> Sunil Suchindran, PhD,<sup>c</sup> Adrian Coles, PhD,<sup>d</sup> Maros Ferencik, MD, PhD,<sup>e</sup> Manesh R. Patel, MD,<sup>d</sup> Udo Hoffmann, MD,<sup>f</sup> Geoffrey S. Ginsburg, MD,<sup>c,g</sup> Pamela S. Douglas, MD,<sup>d,g</sup> on behalf of the PROMISE Investigators

### ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVES** The goal of this study was to examine associations between concentrations of high-sensitivity troponin I (hsTnI) (measured by using a single-molecule counting method) and obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD) in 1,844 stable, symptomatic outpatients with suspected CAD randomized to undergo coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) in the PROMISE (Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain) trial.

**BACKGROUND** Elevated concentrations of hsTnI are associated with CAD in patients with myocardial infarction. The meaning of hsTnI concentrations in stable symptomatic outpatients is not well understood.

**METHODS** Clinical characteristics and CTA results (including coronary artery calcium [CAC] scores) were expressed across hsTnI quartiles. Determinants of hsTnI concentration were identified. Multivariable logistic regression identified independent predictors of obstructive CAD<sub>50</sub> (≥50% stenosis in any vessel) and CAD<sub>70</sub> (≥70% stenosis or ≥50% left main).

**RESULTS** The median hsTnI concentration was 1.5 ng/l; nearly all (98.5%) subjects had measurable hsTnI, and 6.1% had concentrations ≥99th percentile concentration for this assay (6 ng/l). Higher CAC scores, as well as more prevalent and diffuse CAD, was seen in upper hsTnI quartiles (all  $p < 0.001$ ). Independent predictors of hsTnI concentrations included age, sex, and CAC score (all  $p < 0.05$ ). After adjusting for demographic and clinical characteristics, log-transformed hsTnI concentrations were associated with obstructive CAD<sub>50</sub> (odds ratio = 1.15 per interquartile range;  $p = 0.02$ ) and CAD<sub>70</sub> (odds ratio = 1.25 per interquartile range;  $p = 0.001$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS** In stable symptomatic outpatients undergoing nonemergent coronary CTA for the diagnosis of suspected CAD, higher concentrations of hsTnI were associated with increasing presence and severity of coronary atherosclerosis. (Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain [PROMISE]; [NCT01174550](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.01.021).) (J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2018;■:■-■) © 2018 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

From the <sup>a</sup>Cardiology Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>b</sup>Baim Institute for Clinical Research, Boston, Massachusetts; <sup>c</sup>Duke Center for Applied Genomics and Precision Medicine, Durham, North Carolina; <sup>d</sup>Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina; <sup>e</sup>Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon; <sup>f</sup>Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; and the <sup>g</sup>Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical School, Durham, North Carolina. This study was sponsored in part by an unrestricted grant from Singulex, Inc. This project was also supported by grants R01HL098237, R01HL098236, and R01HL98305 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). The authors are solely responsible for the design and conduct of this study; all study analyses; and the drafting and editing of the paper and its final contents. This paper does not necessarily represent the official views of the NHLBI. Dr. Januzzi is supported in part by the Hutter Family Professorship in Cardiology; has received grant support from Singulex; consulting income from Roche Diagnostics, Critical Diagnostics, Philips, and Novartis; and participates in clinical endpoint committees/data safety monitoring boards for Siemens, AbbVie, Pfizer, Amgen, Janssen, and Boehringer Ingelheim. Dr. Ferencik was supported in part by American Heart Association Fellow to Faculty Award 13FTF16450001. Dr. Patel has received research grants from AstraZeneca, Janssen, Bayer, NHLBI, Philips, and HeartFlow; and serves on advisory boards for Janssen, AstraZeneca, and Bayer. Dr. Hoffmann has received research grants from HeartFlow,

**ABBREVIATIONS  
AND ACRONYMS****AUC** = area under the curve**CAC** = coronary artery calcium**CAD** = coronary artery disease**CI** = confidence interval**CTA** = computed tomography  
angiography**hsTn** = high-sensitivity  
troponin**hsTnI** = high-sensitivity  
troponin I**IQR** = interquartile range**NPV** = negative predictive  
value**NRI** = net reclassification  
improvement**PPV** = positive predictive value**ROC** = receiver-operator  
characteristic

Chest discomfort is one of the most commonly encountered complaints in outpatient medicine and represents a major diagnostic challenge. Stable patients with chest discomfort may be a diagnostic challenge as they often present with a broad range of nonspecific symptoms or signs; although traditional risk factors for development of coronary artery disease (CAD) are important for development of disease, their role in assisting clinical decision-making in the context of symptoms is somewhat more limited. Given this, classical history and physical examination for determining cause of chest discomfort is neither sensitive nor specific to identify obstructive CAD as the cause of this commonly reported symptom.

Adjunctive testing has been added to the armamentarium of the modern physician to assist in diagnostic detection of obstructive CAD. Such testing may include stress modalities with or without imaging. More recently, interest has grown regarding use of coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) for the diagnostic evaluation of chest discomfort. Advantages of coronary CTA include high sensitivity and negative predictive value (NPV) for obstructive CAD; coronary CTA also allows the opportunity to identify and quantify coronary artery calcium (CAC), itself a diagnostic and prognostic finding in patients with chest discomfort (1,2). Coronary CTA also can provide additional prognostic information through the detection of nonobstructive CAD (3).

Beyond imaging, development of circulating biomarkers to assist in the diagnostic evaluation of patients with suspected stable obstructive CAD would be of interest. High-sensitivity troponin (hsTn) has grown in use for evaluation of patients with more acute presentations, such as those with suspected myocardial infarction; in such patients, concentrations of hsTn are now accepted as the biomarker gold standard for the diagnosis of acute cardiac injury and correlate well with the presence, extent, and complication of obstructive CAD in these

patients (4). In contrast, the meaning of hsTn concentrations in less acute patients, such as those presenting with stable chest discomfort in the outpatient setting, remains uncertain. In the present analysis, concentrations of high-sensitivity troponin I (hsTnI) were measured by using a single-molecule counting hsTnI assay in symptomatic outpatients in the PROMISE (Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain) trial randomized to undergo CTA imaging (5,6). We hypothesized that concentrations of hsTnI would identify the presence and quantify the severity of CAD in these subjects.

**METHODS**

All study procedures were approved by appropriate local or central institutional review boards.

**STUDY DESIGN AND POPULATION.** Design and primary results of the PROMISE trial have been published previously (5,6). In brief, PROMISE was a pragmatic comparative effectiveness trial enrolling 10,003 participants at 193 sites in North America. Stable symptomatic outpatients without known CAD who were referred by their physician for nonurgent, noninvasive cardiovascular testing were randomly allocated to receive either functional testing (typically stress modalities, such as exercise electrocardiography, exercise or pharmacological nuclear stress testing, or stress echocardiography) versus coronary CTA. All patients were required to have a serum creatinine level <1.70 mg/dl. The PROMISE Diagnostic Testing Coordinating Center certified study sites with respect to testing methods. In addition, study participants were approached for consent for baseline blood collection to the PROMISE Study Biorepository; of these, 4,022 consented for and had blood samples collected for measurement of hsTnI. No significant differences in baseline variables between those participating in the biorepository versus those who did not participate were found (Online Table 1).

A study flow diagram is detailed in Figure 1. For the purposes of the present analysis, we focused on those subjects who were randomized to and received coronary CTA with interpretable results and had


Kowa Pharmaceuticals, Siemens, Medimmune, and Pfizer. Dr. Ginsburg has served as a consultant or advisory board member for CardioDx, Interleukin Genetics, Pappas Ventures, Fabric Genomics, and Genome Magazine; has stock options from CardioDx, Alere, Fabric Genomics, and Origin Commercial Advisors; has served on the board of directors for Alere; and has received royalties from Elsevier and research funding to his institution from Singulex, Abbott, and 23andMe. Dr. Douglas has received research grants from GE and HeartFlow. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

available blood samples drawn before invasive angiography or revascularization or any acute coronary event. Thus, the total study sample for this analysis was 1,844 subjects.


**hsTnI MEASUREMENT.** Concentrations of hsTnI were quantified by using a single-molecule counting method (SMC TnI, Singulex, Inc., Alameda, California) on an Erenna platform (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, Massachusetts). This very highly sensitive TnI assay has a limit of detection of 0.5 ng/l and a 99th percentile reference limit of 6 ng/l in apparently healthy individuals (7). The assay, a quantitative fluorescent one-step sandwich immunoassay, was developed and validated to measure human cTnI levels in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid plasma samples. Samples and controls were added to a 96-well assay plate with an automated EVO 150 robotic system (TECAN Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland). Standards, capture reagent, and detection reagent were added to the assay plate. During incubation, the cardiac troponin-I in the specimen bound to capture antibodies biotinylated to microparticles and to fluorescently conjugated detection antibodies. After the unbound fluorescent detection antibody was removed by a wash procedure, an elution buffer was added to dissociate bead-bound antibody sandwiches, releasing fluorescent detection antibody into the eluent. The eluate was automatically transferred into a new microwell plate, which was then manually loaded onto the Erenna system for single-molecule counting. For this study, the assay provided inter-run imprecision of 10% at 1.5 pg/ml and 6% at 13 pg/ml.

**CORONARY CTA.** The methods for coronary CTA in the PROMISE trial have been previously reported in detail (5). For the purposes of the present analysis, the presence and severity of CAD were based on site reads. Quantification of CAC was determined by using the Agatston method (8). Site reports were assessed for the presence and extent of obstructive CAD as either CAD<sub>50</sub> ( $\geq 50\%$  stenosis in any vessel  $\geq 2.0$  mm in diameter) or CAD<sub>70</sub> ( $\geq 70\%$  stenosis in any vessel  $\geq 2.0$  mm in diameter or  $\geq 50\%$  stenosis involving the left main coronary artery).

**STATISTICAL METHODS.** Baseline characteristics across hsTnI quartiles were compared by using a chi-square test for categorical variables and the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables. All models used the same pre-specified set of covariates: age, sex, smoking, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, race, and use of antihypertensive medications. Multivariable linear regression was used to identify independent predictors of log-transformed hsTnI



concentrations. Effect sizes in the linear model were reported as the difference between the 75th and 25th quartiles for continuous variables, whereas race was modeled as "white," "black," and "other." The rate of missingness was low, and missing values were imputed with medians for continuous variables and the most frequent category for categorical variables. CAC scores were log-transformed for all analyses, and the Spearman correlation was used to examine the association between log-transformed CAC scores and log-transformed hsTnI concentration. Receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curves examined diagnostic utility of hsTnI for the goal standard outcomes of any CAD, CAD<sub>50</sub>, and CAD<sub>70</sub>; the area under the curve (AUC) and operating characteristics such as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and NPV were determined. Distribution of CAD<sub>50</sub> and CAD<sub>70</sub> across quartiles of hsTnI was evaluated, including average proportion of vessels with significant stenoses; in a similar fashion, we performed these analyses by using the 99th percentile concentration for a healthy population of 6 ng/l as well as the 99th percentile value from the PROMISE trial of 32.7 ng/l. Model-based predicted probabilities were estimated by fixing covariates at their median or most frequent category; hsTnI was



varied over its observed range. In a second model, hsTnI was dichotomized at the 99th percentile of 6 ng/l.

Multivariable logistic regression analyses was used to evaluate the association between hsTnI and presence of CAD<sub>50</sub> and CAD<sub>70</sub>. The model included traditional risk factors for CAD, including age, male sex, current smoking, diabetes mellitus, systolic blood pressure, and black race; hsTnI results were then fitted into this base model. The nonlinearity of continuous predictors was assessed by using restricted cubic splines, and a linear functional form was found to be adequate. The incremental value of adding hsTnI to a base model including pre-specified covariates (listed earlier) was further evaluated via change in model discrimination and a likelihood ratio test; calibration of models was assessed by using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Lastly, reclassification of model performance with the addition of hsTnI was tested by using the category-free net reclassification improvement (NRI) method (9). P values are 2-sided, with results <0.05 considered significant. All analyses were performed in the R environment for statistical computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) (10).

## RESULTS

**BASELINE hsTnI CONCENTRATIONS.** [Figure 2](#) presents a histogram of hsTnI concentrations in the study participants. Among study participants,

concentrations of hsTnI ranged from below the limit of detection of 0.5 ng/l to a maximum of 1,434.6 ng/l; 98.5% had a measurable hsTnI. The median hsTnI value for the whole group was 1.5 ng/l (interquartile range [IQR]: 1.0 to 2.4 ng/l). Notably, 6.1% of study participants were  $\geq 6$  ng/l, the assay's 99th percentile for patients free of risk factors for CAD or prevalent atherosclerosis, heart failure, or kidney disease. The 99th percentile hsTnI concentration for the PROMISE trial was 32.7 ng/l.

**BASELINE CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS.** Clinical characteristics as a function of hsTnI quartiles are detailed in [Table 1](#). In higher hsTnI quartiles, we observed increasing prevalence and number of CAD risk factors; patients in the higher hsTnI quartiles were more likely to be older, to be male, and to have prevalent risk factors and/or preventive treatment for CAD. Clinical characteristics as a function of the 99th percentile value of 6 ng/l are detailed in [Online Table 2](#).

**PREDICTORS OF hsTnI CONCENTRATION.** In multivariable linear regression models, independent predictors of log hsTnI concentration included age, male sex, black race, use of antihypertensive agents, and systolic blood pressure (all  $p < 0.005$ ) ([Online Figure 1A](#)). Notably, CAC scores (missing in 10.1% of subjects and imputed by single imputation) exhibited weak bivariate correlation with log hsTnI ( $\rho = 0.16$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) ([Online Figure 2](#)); when added to multivariable linear regression models, CAC

remained an independent predictor of hsTnI (difference per IQR [6.5] was estimated at 0.093; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.004 to 0.182;  $p = 0.04$ ) (Online Figure 1B).

**CORONARY CTA AND hsTnI.** Table 2 details results of coronary CTA as a function of hsTnI quartiles. With higher concentrations of hsTnI, we observed more prevalent and more extensive obstructive CAD with higher CAC scores, higher average most significant stenosis, and greater frequency or proportion of vessels with CAD<sub>50</sub> or CAD<sub>70</sub>. Those in the highest hsTnI quartile had a nearly 8-fold higher median CAC score and near doubling in prevalence of obstructive CAD between the lowest and highest quartile. Results of CTA as a function of the 99th percentile value of 6 ng/l as well as for the 99th percentile of the PROMISE trial population (32.7 ng/l) are detailed in Online Table 3; those subjects above both cutoffs had more diffuse and severe CAD.

**hsTnI TO EVALUATE ABSENCE OR PRESENCE OF CAD.** Given the association between hsTnI and prevalent CAD, we then examined whether concentrations of hsTnI could exclude or predict presence of CAD of varying severities.

Relative to exclusion of any CAD, of the 1,845 study participants, 615 (33.4%) had no evidence of even minor CAD or any CAC. In ROC analyses, hsTnI yielded an AUC of 0.57 (95% CI: 0.54 to 0.60;  $p < 0.001$ ). Assuming need for low hsTnI concentrations to exclude presence of any anatomically defined CAD, we evaluated a range of hsTnI values starting at the median for the group (1.5 ng/l) to the limit of detection (0.5 ng/l) and found no cutoff providing NPV >49%. As expected, therefore, in a model designed to exclude presence of any CAD in the PROMISE trial (11), concentrations of hsTnI did not add to the model discrimination, calibration or reclassification (results not shown).

We then examined whether higher concentrations of hsTnI predict or exclude presence of obstructive CAD<sub>50</sub> or CAD<sub>70</sub>. In ROC analyses for the diagnosis of CAD<sub>50</sub>, hsTnI had an AUC of 0.57 (95% CI: 0.54 to 0.60;  $p < 0.001$ ); for CAD<sub>70</sub>, similar AUC of 0.59 (95% CI: 0.55 to 0.63;  $p < 0.001$ ). At the 99th percentile value of 6.0 ng/l, hsTnI had sensitivity of 9% (95% CI: 6% to 12%), specificity of 95% (95% CI: 93% to 96%), PPV of 35% (95% CI: 27% to 45%), and NPV of 76% (95% CI: 74% to 78%) for CAD<sub>50</sub>. Corresponding operating characteristics for CAD<sub>70</sub> were sensitivity of 11% (95% CI: 7% to 16%), specificity of 95% (95% CI: 93% to 96%), PPV of 22% (95% CI: 15% to 31%), and NPV of 88% (95% CI: 87% to 90%). Using the optimal

**TABLE 1** Baseline Characteristics as a Function of hsTnI Quartiles

	hsTnI Quartile				p Value
	Quartile 1 ≤1.0 ng/l (n = 537)	Quartile 2 1.1-1.5 ng/l (n = 391)	Quartile 3 1.6-2.4 ng/l (n = 483)	Quartile 4 ≥2.5 ng/l (n = 433)	
Age, yrs	57.3 ± 6.9	60.1 ± 7.5	60.5 ± 8.4	61.2 ± 8.6	<0.001
Male	193 (35.9)	172 (44.0)	261 (54.0)	247 (57.0)	<0.001
Race					0.09
Black	39 (7.3)	29 (7.4)	44 (9.1)	53 (12.2)	
Other	20 (3.7)	14 (3.6)	23 (4.8)	12 (2.8)	
White	475 (88.5)	347 (88.7)	413 (85.5)	367 (84.8)	
Cardiac risk factors					
Hypertension	294 (54.7)	270 (69.1)	325 (67.3)	309 (71.4)	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	372 (69.3)	271 (69.3)	320 (66.3)	274 (63.3)	0.17
PAD or cerebrovascular disease	21 (3.9)	16 (4.1)	31 (6.4)	29 (6.7)	0.11
Diabetes	99 (18.4)	80 (20.5)	93 (19.3)	107 (24.7)	0.09
Smoker					0.48
Never	254 (47.3)	205 (52.4)	234 (48.4)	196 (45.3)	
Current	103 (19.2)	62 (15.9)	88 (18.2)	90 (20.8)	
Former	180 (33.5)	124 (31.7)	161 (33.3)	147 (33.9)	
Family history of premature CAD	217 (40.4)	119 (30.4)	159 (32.9)	122 (28.2)	<0.001
Depression	135 (25.1)	93 (23.8)	105 (21.7)	105 (24.2)	0.64
Sedentary lifestyle	242 (45.1)	190 (48.6)	221 (45.8)	207 (47.8)	0.68
Framingham risk score	16.0 ± 10.9	19.4 ± 13.0	22.5 ± 14.7	26.3 ± 16.9	<0.001
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	29.9 ± 5.5	30.6 ± 5.9	30.3 ± 5.3	31.2 ± 5.7	0.002
SBP, mm Hg	126.8 ± 15.2	130.3 ± 16.6	131.7 ± 16.9	135.3 ± 16.4	<0.001
DBP, mm Hg	77.6 ± 9.6	78.6 ± 10.0	78.4 ± 10.2	80.4 ± 10.0	<0.001
Type of angina					0.96
Typical	64 (11.9)	49 (12.5)	62 (12.8)	57 (13.2)	
Atypical	423 (78.8)	303 (77.5)	382 (79.1)	339 (78.3)	
Noncardiac	50 (9.3)	39 (10.0)	39 (8.1)	37 (8.5)	
Medication					
Aspirin	214 (39.9)	184 (47.1)	238 (49.3)	196 (45.3)	0.01
Statin	242 (45.1)	177 (45.3)	195 (40.4)	188 (43.4)	0.55
Beta-blocker	107 (19.9)	88 (22.5)	126 (26.1)	133 (30.7)	<0.001
ACE inhibitor or ARB	173 (32.2)	177 (45.3)	189 (39.1)	220 (50.8)	<0.001
Antihypertensive	284 (52.9)	237 (60.6)	295 (61.1)	298 (68.8)	<0.001

Values are mean ± SD or n (%).

ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI = body mass index; CAD = coronary artery disease; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; hsTnI = high-sensitivity troponin I; PAD = peripheral arterial disease; SBP = systolic blood pressure.

value from the ROC via the Youden method (1.6 ng/l), hsTnI had a sensitivity of 57% (95% CI: 53% to 62%), specificity of 53% (95% CI: 50% to 55%), PPV of 28% (95% CI: 25% to 31%), and NPV of 90% (95% CI: 77% to 82%) for CAD<sub>50</sub>. Corresponding operating characteristics for CAD<sub>70</sub> were sensitivity of 47% (95% CI: 40% to 53%), specificity of 67% (95% CI: 64% to 69%), PPV of 16% (95% CI: 14% to 19%), and NPV of 90% (95% CI: 88% to 92%).

Figure 3 details predicted probability of CAD<sub>50</sub> and CAD<sub>70</sub> as a function of log-transformed hsTnI or dichotomized around the 99th percentile hsTnI

**TABLE 2** Presence and Extent of Coronary Artery Calcium or Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease as a Function of hsTnI Quartile

	hsTnI Quartile				p Value
	Quartile 1 ≤1.0 ng/l (n = 537)	Quartile 2 1.1-1.5 ng/l (n = 391)	Quartile 3 1.6-2.4 ng/l (n = 483)	Quartile 4 ≥2.5 ng/l (n = 433)	
CAC score	5.0 (0.0-90.2)	25.0 (0.0-144.9)	36.9 (0.0-266.1)	38.5 (0.0-247.0)	<0.001
CAC score, 0	212 (39.5)	128 (32.7)	125 (25.9)	124 (28.6)	<0.001
CAC score, 1-99	154 (28.7)	121 (30.9)	132 (27.3)	108 (24.9)	0.32
CAC score, ≥100	113 (21.0)	109 (27.9)	171 (35.4)	157 (36.3)	<0.001
≥50% stenosis	102 (19.0)	89 (22.8)	130 (26.9)	128 (29.6)	<0.001
≥70% stenosis or left main stenosis ≥50%	51 (9.5)	41 (10.5)	65 (13.5)	70 (16.2)	0.009
Average proportion of vessels with ≥50% stenosis	7.0	8.3	10.8	12.7	<0.001
Average proportion of vessels with ≥70% stenosis or left main stenosis ≥50%	3.2	3.2	4.7	6.7	0.004


Values are median (interquartile range), n (%), or %.  
CAC = coronary artery calcium; hsTnI = high-sensitivity troponin I.

concentration of 6 ng/l. In multivariable modeling, including demographic characteristics and traditional risk factors to identify obstructive CAD (Table 3), log-transformed concentrations of hsTnI were independent predictors of CAD<sub>50</sub> (odds ratio: 1.15 per IQR of log hsTnI; 95% CI: 1.12 to 1.48; p = 0.02) and CAD<sub>70</sub> (odds ratio: 1.25 per IQR of log hsTnI; 95% CI: 1.09 to

1.44; p = 0.001); in this analysis, the IQR corresponds to a 0.875 difference on the log scale. Addition of concentrations of hsTnI to a base model for CAD<sub>50</sub> increased the C-statistic from 0.68 to 0.69; in doing so, the likelihood ratio test was significant (p = 0.02), and the model demonstrated adequate calibration (Hosmer-Lemeshow p value of 0.30); adding hsTnI resulted in a nonsignificant change in the category-free NRI of 0.04 (95% CI: -0.068 to 0.14) beyond the base model (event NRI + nonevent NRI = -0.149 + 0.1857). Similarly, in a model for CAD<sub>70</sub>, addition of hsTnI increased the C-statistic (0.68 to 0.69), the likelihood ratio was significant (p = 0.002), and the model demonstrated adequate calibration (Hosmer-Lemeshow p value = 0.21). The category-free NRI analysis again exhibited nonsignificant change in the continuous NRI for obstructive CAD (category-free NRI = 0.11; 95% CI: -0.32 to 0.24) beyond the base model (event NRI + non-event NRI = -0.137 to 0.242).

## DISCUSSION

In our study from the PROMISE trial, we tested blood using a very highly sensitive “single-molecule counting” assay for TnI. We found that concentrations of hsTnI were measurable in nearly all study participants, with a broad range of hsTnI concentrations detected. Those stable symptomatic outpatients

**FIGURE 3** Association Between hsTnI and Obstructive CAD

Predicted probabilities of (A) coronary stenosis ≥50% in any vessel (OCAD50) or (B) coronary stenosis ≥70% in any vessel or left main coronary artery stenosis ≥50% (OCAD70) as a function of hsTnI concentration. Probability for obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD) is depicted as continuous log-transformed hsTnI concentration (pink dots) as well as dichotomized around the 99th percentile concentration of 6 ng/l (green dots). In both figures, the 99th percentile cutoff of 6 ng/l is identified by a dotted line. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

**TABLE 3** Multivariable Models to Predict Presence of Any Vessel With  $\geq 50\%$  Stenosis or  $\geq 70\%$  Stenosis or Left Main Stenosis  $\geq 50\%$ 

	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	p Value
Any vessel with $\geq 50\%$ stenosis			
Age	1.78	1.49-2.11	<0.001
Male	2.38	1.89-3.01	<0.001
Current smoking	1.62	1.21-2.16	0.001
Diabetes mellitus	1.85	1.42-2.41	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure	1.29	1.12-1.48	<0.001
hsTnI	1.15	1.03-1.29	0.02
Black race	0.59	0.38-0.91	0.03
Any vessel with $\geq 70\%$ stenosis or left main stenosis $\geq 50\%$			
Age	1.63	1.31-2.03	<0.001
Male	2.55	1.88-3.46	<0.001
Current smoking	1.67	1.16-2.39	0.006
Diabetes mellitus	1.74	1.25-2.44	0.001
Systolic blood pressure	1.23	1.04-1.47	0.02
hsTnI	1.25	1.09-1.44	0.001
Black race	0.43	0.22-0.82	0.04

Addition of high-sensitivity troponin I (hsTnI) concentrations to each model increased the C-statistic, improved calibration, and led to reclassification for correct diagnosis.

with higher concentrations of hsTnI had more prevalent risk factors for CAD, and on noninvasive imaging, higher concentrations of hsTnI were associated with increasing presence and severity of CAD, as reflected in CAC scores or evidence of obstructive disease on CTA. In models adjusted for traditional risk factors, higher hsTnI concentrations independently predicted moderate and severe coronary obstruction. Thus, presence and severity of CAD are important determinants of hsTnI concentrations in those with stable chest discomfort, although the diagnostic role of the biomarker in such patients is not clarified: although lower concentrations provided NPV approaching 90%, no hsTnI concentration had high enough PPV or NPV for CAD in the same manner as it is for diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (4).

The standard approach for evaluation of patients with suspected CAD includes diagnostic imaging modalities, including stress testing (with/without nuclear or echocardiographic imaging); newer approaches, such as coronary CTA, are now being explored, which may afford value for evaluating stable chest pain (1,6). Although imaging has substantial advantages, it may have drawbacks, including higher cost, limited availability, time lag for completion relative to presentation, need for ionizing radiation in some cases, and requirement for specialized interpretation. Thus, a more rapidly available means by which to supplement clinical decision-making in this setting would be welcome. Biomarker testing might be a reasonable

approach to support clinical information and/or other objective test information to assist in the diagnosis of CAD (11).

Given previous studies suggesting associations between hsTnI and presence of CAD in patients without acute coronary syndrome (12), we hypothesized that the concentrations of TnI as measured with the very highly sensitive single-molecule counting assay used in this study would be helpful in this regard. Indeed, measurement of hsTnI for evaluating stable chest pain is a reasonable prospect but has not been well explored. Among 378 patients with stable angina and unknown CAD status in the EVINCI (Evaluation of Integrated Cardiac Imaging) study, concentrations of hsTnI were higher in those with CAD (13); much as in our study, the extent of atherosclerosis was independently associated with hsTnI concentrations in EVINCI. In a separate study of 99 study participants from the Virtual Histology in Vulnerable Atherosclerosis trial, Brown et al. (14) examined results from intracoronary ultrasound as a function of a different hsTnI method than used in our analysis, showing that hsTnI concentrations were associated with higher risk plaque features such as higher plaque burden and more thin-capped fibroatheroma; hsTnI also predicted risk for major adverse cardiovascular events during the 3.5-year follow-up of this small study cohort (15). In our analysis, using a more sensitive hsTnI assay, we substantially extend understanding of the role this biomarker may play in evaluating stable chest pain. An important observation was the reported graded association between hsTnI and CAD, present well within the normal range for this assay. Although the 99th percentile value of this assay is 6 ng/l (found in the upper quartile of our subjects), we nonetheless found a monotonic rise in CAC scores and prevalence of obstructive CAD from the lowest hsTnI quartile (<1.0 ng/l) to the highest ( $\geq 2.5$  ng/l).

Although CAD plays a role in partially determining hsTnI concentrations in our subjects, it is clear more research is necessary to understand this finding. Previous research with this assay in patients undergoing functional testing using stress nuclear imaging suggest those with higher hsTnI concentrations were more likely to have inducible perfusion defects, suggesting that greater ischemic burden contributes to higher concentrations of the biomarker (16). In moderate-risk patients with acute chest discomfort presenting to the emergency department subsequently judged to be without acute coronary syndrome, hsTnI was associated with prevalent coronary atherosclerosis as assessed by coronary CTA (12,17). Our findings extend these observations, showing independent association of CAD with hsTnI

concentrations in much more stable patients. Burden of CAD and consequent coronary injury is therefore a likely contributor to why hsTnI might be higher in such patients. However, although CAD presence and extent play a significant role, our results suggest this factor is a modest contributor. Taken together, although our data suggest more refined and sensitive Tn methods may be influenced by presence of obstructive CAD in a more stable chest pain population, stand-alone measurement of hsTnI cannot replace imaging for the diagnosis or exclusion of coronary atherosclerosis in stable patients. However, an integrative role of hsTnI with clinical variables and imaging (including coronary CTA, CAC scoring, or myocardial perfusion imaging) to optimize diagnostic accuracy for those patients at higher risk is currently being explored. Furthermore, we are analyzing prognostic meaning of hsTnI at enrollment in PROMISE; a testable hypothesis will be to explore how hsTnI concentrations may be useful to triage downstream testing based on risk as opposed to coronary anatomy alone.

**STUDY LIMITATIONS.** Although our data are novel, it is necessary to accept limitations of our study. First, this study is a moderate size, well-characterized cohort of patients enrolled in a clinical trial subject to inclusion/exclusion criteria. Thus, our results may not extend to more “every day” patients; conversely, the pragmatic design of the PROMISE trial together with measurement of blood samples obtained before coronary CTA, along with use of site reads for the coronary CTA results, make the associations between hsTnI and CAD presence/severity compelling, and likely more broadly applicable. The hsTnI method used in this study is more highly sensitive than other methods that are currently commercially available; our results therefore do not necessarily extend to other hsTn methods. We did not examine sex-specific hsTnI cutoffs, although it is hard to envision substantial differences in results, given that the associations between hsTnI and CAD were found so deeply into the normal range. Although hsTnI concentrations independently predicted CAD presence, neither PPV nor NPV was sufficient to use the test as a stand-alone modality; the role of hsTnI in this setting remains to

be determined. Understanding discordant groups (e.g., those with significant CAD but with low hsTnI, those without CAD but high hsTnI) may help to further inform a role. Furthermore, efforts toward understanding a potential prognostic role for hsTnI in PROMISE are being explored. In this regard, the optimal utility of hsTnI in patients with stable chest pain may be to assess risk for major adverse cardiovascular events and inform future diagnostic strategies; such analyses are underway in this cohort.

## CONCLUSIONS

Among stable outpatient participants with chest pain enrolled in the PROMISE trial, increasing concentrations of hsTnI were significantly associated with the presence and severity of CAD subsequently diagnosed with coronary CTA. Future studies are needed in larger and more diverse patient populations with stable chest pain to better understand how hsTnI measurement integrated with further diagnostic studies might facilitate accurate, safe, and cost-effective evaluation.

**ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:** Dr. James L. Januzzi, Jr., Cardiology Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Yawkey 5984, 32 Fruit Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02114. E-mail: [jjanuzzi@partners.org](mailto:jjanuzzi@partners.org); Twitter: [@JJheart\\_doc](https://twitter.com/JJheart_doc).

## PERSPECTIVES

**COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE:** The role of troponin testing in patients with less acute presentations, such as stable outpatients, is unknown. In the PROMISE trial of stable outpatients with suspected CAD, concentrations of hsTnI were significantly associated with CAC as well as obstructive CAD. The role of hsTnI for diagnostic testing in this population remains ambiguous, however.

**TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK:** Understanding factors leading to hsTnI elevation in stable patients with obstructive CAD may lead to new therapies for treatment of the diagnosis.

## REFERENCES

1. Bittner DO, Ferencik M, Douglas PS, Hoffmann U. Coronary CT angiography as a diagnostic and prognostic tool: perspective from a multicenter randomized controlled trial: PROMISE. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2016;18:40.
2. Budoff MJ, Mayrhofer T, Ferencik M, et al. The prognostic value of coronary artery calcium in the PROMISE study. *Circulation* 2017;136:1993-2005.
3. Hoffmann U, Ferencik M, Udelson JE, et al. Prognostic value of noninvasive cardiovascular testing in patients with stable chest pain: insights from the PROMISE trial (Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain). *Circulation* 2017;135:2320-32.
4. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:1581-98.
5. Douglas PS, Hoffmann U, Lee KL, et al. PROSpective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of chest pain: rationale and design of the PROMISE trial. *Am Heart J* 2014;167:796-803.e1.

6. Douglas PS, Hoffmann U, Patel MR, et al. Outcomes of anatomical versus functional testing for coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372:1291-300.
7. Estis J, Wu AHB, Todd J, Bishop J, Sandlund J, Kavsak PA. Comprehensive age and sex 99th percentiles for a high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I assay. *Clin Chem* 2018;64:398-9.
8. Agatston AS, Janowitz WR, Hildner FJ, Zusmer NR, Viamonte M Jr., Detrano R. Quantification of coronary artery calcium using ultrafast computed tomography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1990;15:827-32.
9. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB Sr., Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net reclassification improvement calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers. *Stat Med* 2011;30:11-21.
10. Harrell F. *Regression Modeling Strategies*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2017.
11. Ibrahim NE, Januzzi JL Jr., Magaret CA, et al. A clinical and biomarker scoring system to predict the presence of obstructive coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69:1147-56.
12. Januzzi JL Jr., Bamberg F, Lee H, et al. High-sensitivity troponin T concentrations in acute chest pain patients evaluated with cardiac computed tomography. *Circulation* 2010;121:1227-34.
13. Caselli C, Prontera C, Liga R, et al. Effect of coronary atherosclerosis and myocardial ischemia on plasma levels of high-sensitivity troponin T and NT-proBNP in patients with stable angina. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2016;36:757-64.
14. Brown AJ, Shah AS, West NE, et al. High-sensitivity troponin I is associated with high-risk plaque and MACE in stable coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2017;10 Pt A:1200-3.
15. Calvert PA, Obaid DR, O'Sullivan M, et al. Association between IVUS findings and adverse outcomes in patients with coronary artery disease: the VIVA (VH-IVUS in Vulnerable Atherosclerosis) Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol Img* 2011;4:894-901.
16. Lee G, Twerenbold R, Tanglay Y, et al. Clinical benefit of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I in the detection of exercise-induced myocardial ischemia. *Am Heart J* 2016;173:8-17.
17. Januzzi JL, Sharma U, Zakrotsky P, et al. Sensitive troponin assays in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: results from the multi-center rule out myocardial infarction using computer assisted tomography II trial. *Am Heart J* 2015;169:572-8.e1.

---

**KEY WORDS** coronary artery disease, coronary computed tomography angiography, troponin

---

**APPENDIX** For supplemental tables and figures, please see the online version of this paper.